Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,143	BJORGAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pierre-Louis Desir	2617
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Pierre-Louis Desir</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kurt Berger	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2007</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 15</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Heinonen et a.</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ f	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: Applicants' attorney arguer Reference. However, further search and/or consideration at (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE on reverse side or on attached sheet.	aments appear to have overcombout the stated reference is not the stated reference is not the examiner agree opy of the amendments that will be last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	me the Heinonen et al. eeded. reed would render the claims vould render the claims E SUBSTANCE OF THE been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
•		
	, ()	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required